PHELLINUS HIPPOPHAECOLA H. JAHN, A NEW SPECIES

Hermann Jahn

D-493 Detmold-Heiligenkirchen (German Fed. Rep.)

Phellinus hippophaëcola H. Jahn, sp. nov.

Polyporus robustus (Karst.) f. hippophaës Donk ap. Lundell & Nannf., Fungi Exsiccati Suecici, No. 449, 1937 (nomen nudum).

Species ex affinitate *Phellini robusti*, a quo praecipue differt carposomatis minoribus, poris paulum latioribus, superficie porarum obscure brunnea. Pileo ungulato-pulvinato, dimidiato, saepe dorsaliter adnato, reniformi, rotundato vel subrotundato, 3-7(-9) cm longo, 2-5 cm lato et 1.5-5 cm crasso, in statu juvenili breviter tomentoso, glabrescente, remote concentrice sulcato, opaco, primo ochraceo-ferrugineo, aetate fusco vel sordide cinereo, saepe algis tecto. Contexto duro, sicco lignoso, ochraceo-ferrugineo, fracto paulum iridescente. Tubulis stratosis, stratis 2-5 mm longis, saepe inaequalibus. Poris minutis, 5-7 per mm, rotundatis usque subangulatis; superficie porarum in statu fertili obscure brunnea. Basidia ut in *Phellino robusto*. Setae rarissimae vel nullae. Sporae globulosae vel subglobulosae, $6.0-7.5 \times 5.5-6.5 \ \mu$ m, tunicis laevis, incrassatis, hyalinis, inamyloideis sed fortiter dextrinoideis et cyanophilis. Ad truncos ramosque vivos, moribundos vel mortuos Eleagnacearum generum *Hippophaë* et *Eleagnus*.

Typus (in Suecia, Uplandia, at litorem Maris Baltici, prope loco "Grisslehamn", ad truncos vetustos *Hippophaës rhamnoides* L., die 16. Augusti 1965 legerunt H., R. et M. A. Jahn) in herbario musei Lugduno Batavorum (Leiden) asservatur.

A small, pileate, perennial species of *Phellinus*, closely related and similar to P. robustus (Karst.) Bourd. & Galz., but the basidiocarps always smaller, ca 3-7(-9) in diam, 2-5 cm in length and about 1.5-5 cm thick, sessile, ungulate, dimidiate, often attached with the back on inclining thin branches or enclosing the branch, becoming reniform or circular, often much larger than the enclosed branch (Fig. 1, a-c). Surface concentrically sulcate, old specimens often rimose, at first yellowish-brown to rusty-brown, delicately tomentose, later smooth, grayish-brown or dirty gray, dark gray, often covered with green algae. Context hard and woody, yellowish-brown to rusty-yellow as in P. robustus, only temporarily blackening in KOH, broken surfaces with a silky lustre. Tubes in 2-7 layers, of the same colour as the context, not so regular as in P. robustus, often with holes or canals caused by larvae, the holes partly filled by loose yellow-rusty hyphae. Pores 5-7 per mm with rather thin dissepiments (always somewhat larger than in P. robustus), remarkably dark brown (ripe, fertile specimens seen from below!), when damaged turning yellowish-brown, when dry cracked with many thin fissures.

Context hyphae $2-5.5 \mu m$, mostly brown, some hyaline, thin- to somewhat thick-walled, subparallel; hyphae of the dissepiments $2-4 \mu m$. Old tubes stuffed by $1-3 \mu m$ wide, hyaline, branched hyphae. Setae lacking (or extremely rare, not observed); cystidioles often present, ventricose, with $1.5-2 \mu m$ wide, thin-



Fig. 1. Phellinus hippophaëcola and P. robustus. (a-e) P. hippophaëcola. (a-c) typical basidiocarps, ca $\frac{3}{2}$ nat. size; (d) basidium and spores, $\times 2000$; (e) two cystidioles, $\times 2000$. (f) P. robustus, cystidiole with thickened brown wall (pseudoseta), $\times 2000$.

walled, hyaline, hyphae-like necks (Fig. 1, e). Hymenium containing many crystals. Basidia subglobose to ovoid, about $10 \times 13 \ \mu m$. Spores subglobose, $6.0-7.5 \times 5.5-6.5 \ \mu m$, hyaline, with thickened walls, inamyloid but strongly dextrinoid and cyanophilous (Fig. 1, d).

This pretty little *Phellinus*, common on old shrubs of *Hippophaë rhamnoides* L. (sea buckthorn) in Europe and Asia, was first mentioned by Donk (1933, p. 248) in a footnote in the German text, as a form of *Ochroporus robustus* (Karst.) Schroet., with a short description: occurring in the Netherlands near the coast on *Hippophaë*, the basidiocarps dimidiate, or enclosing the branch on which they grow, or circular and dorsally attached, 3–7 cm large. Donk himself did not name the form. Apparently S. Lundell, in Lundell & Nannfeldt, Fungi Exsiccati Suecici, 1937, No. 449, was the first author who introduced the scientific name "forma *hippophaës* Donk." Since then, this name has been used by several European authors (Pilát 1942, p. 507; Bondartsev 1953, p. 363; Domański et al. 1973, p. 258, etc.), but none of them made a more thorough study. Since no Latin diagnosis exists, the name *hippophaës* cannot be used as a basionym for a species, therefore a new (but similar) name is given.

Most authors do not comment on the taxonomic position of this fungus. Donk (1974, p. 248), while admitting that the form on *Hippophaë* looks "rather distinct from that on oak" presumes that "this seems to be due chiefly to its smaller size and the much thinner branches from which it develops, resulting in a dif-

106

ferent attachment." This means that the different habit of the fungus on *Hippophaë* would be merely an adaption of the basidiocarps to a host with thinner branches, and that a mycelium originating from spores of the typical *P. robustus* on oak, but developing on *Hippophaë*, would produce such different basidiocarps. Studying the habit, ecology, frequency and the pattern of distribution of the fungus on *Hippophaë* this seems highly improbable. In fact, besides the smaller size and the deviating form of the basidiocarps there exist some other constant differences which have been overlooked hitherto. *Phellinus hippophaëcola* certainly is an independent species of the *Phellinus robustus* group [like *P. hartigii* Allesch. & Schnabl.) Bond. and *P. punctatus* (Fr.) Pilát], which has specialized on the family Eleagnaceae with the genera *Hippophaë* and *Eleagnus*.

The main features which differentiate P. hippophaëcola from P. robustus are:

(1) The basidiocarps of *P. hippophaëcola* are always smaller (2-7-9 cm) than those of P. robustus (5-25-50 cm), even if the carpophores grow on thicker trunks. The smaller size is obviously caused by genetical factors and not by the host. (2) The colour of the pores of ripe specimens of P. hippophaëcola is dark brown or dark chocolate brown, always darker than in P. robustus which has more or less ferrugineous pores. (3) The pores of fertile specimens of P. hippophaëcola always are wider than those of P. robustus. The number of pores per mm is about the same in both species, but P. hippophaëcola has narrower dissepiments. This character has been checked on a large number of specimens of the two species, and it is evident if one compares the pores side by side. (4) Setae and pseudosetae (in the sense of H. Jahn, 1967, p. 95, viz. cystidioles or basidioles growing out from a ventricose basis into a short neck or slender hyphae, with rounded tips, at first hyaline, but later often with thickening brown walls suggesting true setae) (Fig. 1, f) are lacking (or very rare) in P. hippophaëcola but nearly always present in P. robustus, at least in certain places of the tubes (European specimens). The tubes of P. robustus, even the youngest ones, are often partly or wholly filled by outgrown cystidioles or other hyphae; the young tubes of P. hippophaëcola usually are wide open. (5) The spore production of P. hippophaëcola seems to be longer than in P. robustus, judging from sections of many basidiocarps of both species. I have found P. hippophaëcola fertile with fresh basidia from March to November, perhaps it sporulates throughout the year in favorable regions. (6) Phellinus *hippophaëcola* has a very pronounced vitality and is much more common on its host than P. robustus on its main host Quercus (in Europe). Phellinus hippophaëcola is abundant in every thicket of Hippophaë rhamnoides with old enough bushes. In Europe, its frequency might be compared with that of P. pomaceus (Pers.) Maire on old plum trees (Prunus domestica L. and allies). Phellinus robustus, on Quercus and some other hosts (in Europe), is never so frequent and, even in forests with many old oaks, occurs only on single trees. (7) The ecology and distribution of P. hippophaëcola are very different from P. robustus. In Europe, Hippophaë grows on the coasts of the northern Atlantic Ocean, the North Sea, and the Baltic Sea, on dunes or stony shores, and also on the banks of rivers in mountain valleys (esp. the Alps); it forms very dense, often nearly impenetrable thickets always outside the forest zone. *Hippophaë* is even known in the countries near the Danube and the Black Sea, and continues to Asia Minor, the Caucasus, Northern Iran, and the mountains of central Asia. Judging from the distribution hitherto known (England, Norway, Denmark, Sweden, Finland, France, Belgium, Netherlands, Germany (GFR and GDR), Switzerland, Austria, Italy, Bulgaria, etc., and Altai, Uzbek S.S.R., etc. in Asia), P. hippophaëcola probably follows its host throughout most of its range. Besides, the fungus has been found on *Eleagnus angustifolia* L. (Russian olive), closely related to *Hippophaë*, which occurs in cultivation or naturally, especially in the Mediterranean countries, but as yet little is known of its distribution on this host.

LITERATURE CITED

Bondartsev, A. S. 1971. The Polyporaceae of the European USSR and Caucasia. Engl. translation from the Russian edition (1953), Jerusalem, 897 p.

Domański, S., H. Orłoś, and A. Skirgiełło. 1973. Fungi. Engl. translation from the Polish edition (1967), Washington, D. C. and Warsaw, Poland, 332 p.

Donk, M. A. 1933. Revision der Niederländischen Homobasidiomycetae-Aphyllophoraceae, II. Med. Bot. Mus. Herb. Rijksuniv. Utrecht 9: 1-278.

———. 1974. Check list of European polypores. Verhand. Koninkl. Nederl. Akad. van Wetensch., Afd. Natuurkunde, Tweede Reeks, Deel 2, 62: 1–469.

Jahn, H. 1967. Die resupinaten Phellinus-Arten in Mitteleuropa. Westfälische Pilzbriefe (Detmold, W-Germany) 6: 37-124.

Lundell, S., and J. A. Nannfeldt. 1937. Fungi Exsiccati Suecici, praesertim Upsalienses. Uppsala, Fasc. 1X-X (No. 401-500), p. 1-50.

Pilát, A. 1936-1942. Polyporaceae. Atlas de Champignons de l'Europe, Praha, 624 p.

Accepted for publication, June 24, 1975